MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION							
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No						
Requestor's Name and Address RS Medical	MDR Tracking No.: M4-03-A077-01						
P O Box 872650 Vancouver, WA 98687-2650							
2000							
Respondent's Name and Address Texas Mutual Insurance Company							
P O Box 12029 Austin, Texas 78711-2029							
Box #54	Insurance Carrier's No.: 99D0000335713						

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS (Details on Page 2, if needed)

Dates of Service		CPT Code(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due	
From	То	CIT Code(s) of Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc	
4/14/03	5/13/03	E1399-RR	\$100.00	\$100.00	
5/14/03	6/13/03	E1399-RR	\$100.00	\$100.00	

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

The Requestor's position statement states in part, "...Payment has been made on the old fee guidelines for E0745; which had a D code in the pre 1996 fee schedule, which is not a comparable device as it provides only muscle stimulation. ...The RS4I provides 2 modalities...4 channel muscle stimulation plus interferential electrotherapy, providing equivalent therapy of 2 devices, therefore a higher fee allowance is reasonable and warranted. The RS4I provides relief and promotes muscle recovery to the injured worker. ...Therefore, reimbursement for this unit under the fee schedule for E0745, which is a muscle stimulator only, is neither fair nor reasonable.

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

The Respondent's position statement states in part, "...The fair and reasonable reimbursement is based on the following rationale. The amount was calculated by using the allowable amounts in the 1991 Medical Fee Guidelines for code D0550 (muscle stimulator). The listed amount for the code is \$150.00 rental per month, the same amount reimbursed by the carrier. Accordingly nom further reimbursement is due..."

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

The Carrier denied additional reimbursement as F 01 – The charge for the procedure exceeds the amount indicated in the fee schedule. M YM – The reimbursement for the service rendered has been determined to be fair and reasonable based on billing and payment research and is in accordance with Labor Code 413.011(D)."

Texas Labor Code 413.011 (d) and Commission Rule 133.304 (i)(1-4) places certain requirements on the Carrier when reducing the services for which the Commission has not established a maximum allowable reimbursement. The Respondent is required to develop and consistently apply a methodology to determine fair and reasonable reimbursement and explain and document the method used for the calculation. The did not show their rate to be a fair and reasonable reimbursement.

Per Rule 133.307 (g)(3)(D), the Requestor is also required to discuss, demonstrate and justify that the payment being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement. The Requestor has provided redacted sample EOBs as evidence that the fees billed are for similar treatment of injured individuals and that reflect the fee charged to and paid by other carriers. On this basis, reimbursement is recommended in the amount of \$200.00 (\$250.00 billed - 150.00 Carrier Reimbursement = 100.00 x 2 dos).

PART VI: DET	AIL FINDINGS (I	f needed)					
Date of		Amount in	Amount	Date of		Amount in	Amount
Service	CPT Code	Dispute	Due	Service	CPT Code	Dispute	Due
					<u> </u>		
						Left Column:	\$0.00
					Total A	Amount Due:	\$0.00
PART VII: CO	MMISSION DECI	SION AND ORDE	CR				
amount plus a Ordered by:	ll accrued intere	st due at the time		•	vithin 20-days o	Ŷ	Order.
Autho	rized Signature			Pat DeVries Typed Name		January 31, 2005 Date of Order	
Author	rized Signature		Турес	d Name		Date of O	ruci
PART VIII: YO	OUR RIGHT TO R	EQUEST A HEAI	RING				
for a hearing r (twenty) days care provider a days after it was Texas Admini P.O. Box 1778 The party app involved in the	must be in writing of your receipt of and placed in the as mailed and the strative Code § 137, Austin, Texa ealing the Divise dispute.	ng and it must be of this decision (2). Austin Represe the first working d (102.5(d)). A required s, 78744 or faxed ton's Decision sersona in españ	e received by the 28 Texas Admir ntatives box on ay after the date uest for a hearing to (512) 804-4 hall deliver a color of acerca de és	the Decision was ag should be send 4011. A copy of opy of their writers	on and has a right Clerk of Procee 148.3). This Decision as placed in the Atto: Chief Clerk this Decision should be request for a series, favor de l'atto.	dings/Appeals Cecision was mailed is deemed receivant and the receivant of Proceedings/Could be attached a hearing to the cecision was mailed as a second to the cecision was a second to the cecision with the cecision was a second to the cecision was mailed to the cecision was mailed to the cecision was mailed to the cecision was a second to the cecision was a se	Clerk within 20 ed to the health wed by you five active's box (28 Appeals Clerk, I to the request. Opposing party
				der in the Austin	Representative	s hov	
Thereby verify	y mai i ieceived	a copy or tills D	ccision and Of	ici iii tiit Austiii	representative	S UUA.	
Signature of I	Insurance Carrie	r:			Date:		